

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,689	PERLMAN, DANIEL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Cephia D. Toomer.

(2) Dan Perlman.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

39 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that in claim 39, the language regarding a plastic composition was not needed since applicant had already indicated that the container is fabricated from at least one plastic resin. In claim 40, the language regarding the container is fabricated from at least one plastic resin will be added to the claimed and the period at the end of subsection 2 will be replaced with a semi-colon.